## Indiana Election Commission Minutes June 21, 2019

**Members Present:** Bryce H. Bennett, Jr., Chairman of the Indiana Election Commission ("Commission"); S. Anthony Long, Vice Chairman of the Commission; Michael Claytor, proxy for Suzannah Wilson Overholt, member; Zachary E. Klutz, member.

Members Absent: Suzannah Wilson Overholt.

**Staff Attending:** J. Bradley King, Co-Director, Indiana Election Division of the Office of the Secretary of State (Election Division); Angela M. Nussmeyer, Co-Director of the Election Division; Matthew Kochevar, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Dale Simmons, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Abbey Taylor, Election Division campaign finance staff; Michelle Thompson, Election Division campaign finance staff.

Others Attending: Karen Celestino-Horseman.

## 1. Call to Order:

The Chair called the June 21, 2019 meeting of the Commission to order at 1:48 p.m. EDT in State House Room 130, 200 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana.

## 2. Transaction of Commission Business:

The Commission proceeded to transact the business set forth in the Transcript of Proceedings for this meeting prepared by Rhonda J. Hobbs of Connor Reporting, which is incorporated by reference into these minutes.

The Commission corrects the following scrivener's errors in this document:

Page 2, line 6, replace "Clayton" with "Claytor".

Page 7, line 5, replace "George" with "Jorge".

Page 11, line 8, replace "pose" with "pause".

Page 24, line 4, replace "Erin" with "Karen".

Page 31, line 11, replace "AUDIENCE MEMBER" with "MS. K. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN".

Respectfully submitted,

J. Bradley King

Co-Director

Angela M. Nussmeyer

Co-Director

APPROVED:

Paul Okeson, Chairman

	Page 1
1	
2	
3	
	INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION
4	PUBLIC SESSION NOTICE
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
	State House Room 130
11	200 West Washington Street
	Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
12	Friday, June 21, 2019, 1:30 P.M.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	_
21	A STENOGRAPHIC RECORD BY:
2.2	Rhonda J. Hobbs, RPR
22	Notary Public
23	Stenographic Reporter
23	
2 <del>4</del> 2 5	
23	

	Page 2
1	INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION
2	
3	Chairman, Bryce Bennett
4	Vice Chairman, Anthony Long
5	Zachary Klutz, Commission Member
6	Mike Clayton, Commission Member (Proxy for Suzannah
	Wilson Overholt)
7	
8	
9	
10	INDIANA ELECTION DIVISION STAFF
11	
12	J. Bradley King, Co-Director
13	Angela M. Nussmeyer, Co-Director
14	Dale Simmons, Co-Legal Counsel
15	Matthew Kochevar, Co-Legal Counsel
16	Michelle Thompson, Campaign Finance
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(TIME NOTED: 1:48 PM)

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: The Election Commission is called to order. The following members of the commission are present, myself, Bryce Bennett, Chairman; Vice Chairman Anthony Long; Mike Claytor -- no -- yes, Mike Claytor, proxy for Member Suzannah Wilson Overholt; and Member Zachary Klutz; Indiana Election Division Staff; Co-Director Brad King may join us later. Co-Director Angie Nussmeyer is here; Co-General Counsel Dale Simmons is here; and Co-General Counsel Matt Kochevar is also here. Our court reporter today is Rhonda Hobbs from Connor Reporting.

At this time, I request that the Co-Directors confirm that the commission meeting has been properly noticed as required under the Open Door Law.

MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, the meeting was noticed in compliance with the State's Open Door Law.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Thank you, Miss Nussmeyer. We will now move to the approval of the January 31st, 2019 Commission

1	Minutes, and I would ask for a presentation by
2	Miss Nussmeyer.
3	MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4	Co-Director King and I reviewed the minutes from
5	January 31st, 2019, and a copy has been
6	distributed, I believe, to all the members ahead
7	of today's meeting.
8	We did find a few scrivener errors that
9	have been notated, and a copy of that
10	Chairman Bennett has.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: And I would
12	indicate I've read it all, and I caught a couple
13	of scrivener errors before I seen the errata
14	sheet. But, yes, I think they've done that.
15	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Is there a motion to
16	approve the January 31st, 2019 meetings as
17	presented?
18	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: As presented, I
19	make that motion.
20	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Is there a second?
21	MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Any discussion?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Hearing none, all in
25	favor, say aye?

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Okay. Any opposition

1	to that motion?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: I take it by
4	consensus then my signature will be affixed by a
5	stamp. Thank you. We now move to ratification
6	of settlement agreements, and I would ask for
7	presentation by Miss Taylor and Miss Thompson.
8	MS. M. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman and Members
9	of the Commission, underneath your white tab is
10	a list of committees to ratify, that have agreed
11	to pay the settlement agreement and waive a
12	hearing.
13	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: We'll take a moment
14	to review them, and I'll accept a motion.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I move we approve
16	the settlement agreements.
17	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Is there a second?
18	MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Any discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Hearing none, all in
22	favor, say aye?
23	(Chorus of ayes.)
24	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Those opposed, say
25	nay?

.1

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: The ayes have it and the motion is approved. At this time I would like to recognize Dale Simmons to report on the document received in this matter by George Fernandez.

MR. D. SIMMONS: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, a complaint was filed by Mr. Fernandez at the January 31st meeting of the Commission. The Commission requested the Election Division to follow up with the committee in question, Dan Leonard.

We did that with a March 27th letter. And we have received a response from that committee dated April 11th and that response is contained in the packet as well. That's under your blue tab.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I believe that they've done what we asked them to do, the committee. I don't see that this is an issue that needs to go any further today.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: No reason to open an investigation up?

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I see no -- I think as the minutes reflect, we discussed that day  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac$ 

1	and we've dealt with these and, of course, I've
2	been here so many years, we've never, to my
3	knowledge, came to a resolution on one of those
4	than anything referred to the local prosecutor.
5	Since these were de minimis violations, I
6	believe just one, and we advised him of that,
7	and I think justice has been served. And to be
8	honest, I can't even tell what party he's in. I
9	don't know if that makes a difference.
10	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Okay. Anyone else?
11	Any other discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Hearing none, we will
14	move to the Joe Weingarten matter. Mr. Simmons,
15	can you give us some background on that?
16	PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Mr. Chairman, do we need
17	to normally dismiss that or take an action or
18	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Well, we never really
19	opened a proceeding on that.
20	PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Oh, it has not been
21	opened?
22	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: No. It's been opened
23	and there's no investigation.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I think that's how

we disposed of it, is we weren't going to

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1.	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

1

2

3

investigate it. We'd deal with writing him a letter, and that's been done. I think it's closed.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: So I'll move to the Joe Weingarten.

MR. D. SIMMONS: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, this involves a complaint against Brian Bosma's campaign finance committee. There was a request to investigate expenditures made by that committee. There was at the last commission meeting on January 31 a motion -- a denied request to investigate.

However, subsequent to that, Mr. Weingarten filed a motion to reconsider, and that was filed on February the 11th, 2019, and these are all under the orange tab, motion to reconsider.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: And as I recall, the motion to deny the request pending investigation passed by a vote of 4-0.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: It did. Can we just have some discussion before we have a motion? I have some thoughts.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Okay.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I voted to deny it because I thought on the face the claim was --

ı.

Mr. -- or Speaker Bosma's committee spent money for an investigation of an individual who was making allegations against the speaker that -- and at a time of political candidacy that would be potentially detrimental to that candidacy if there were any merit to them.

And my gut feeling, without any legal research, if that falls on its face, was a legitimate expenditure in my mind. And one thing that troubles me today and it troubled me that day, we were in a period of inclement weather.

And while I don't believe we have a duty to notify a complainant, when there's issues that come up before the Commission, I think that fairness and fair play and fundamental due process would allow somebody, if they were here and knew about it, to come forward and afford them an opportunity to try to tell us why they think this campaign expense was not covered by the law.

I think the speaker would want that transparency. I think it would be in his best interest. I know him somewhat but -- and we're not friends, and I'm not certainly here to cause

any embarrassment to him because my feeling, 1 personally, without any further evidence, is 2 that the expenditures that were made that were 3 complained of, appear on their face to be 4 lawful, and in keeping with the statute. 5 6 make that observation.

> I'm troubled that the complainant is not here today. I would pose to ask is he aware of the hearing? Has anybody notified this man that we were taking this up today?

> CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: For starters, this is not a hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: This agenda item? CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: It's an agenda item, yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Does he know about it? Silence is deafening to me.

MR. D. SIMMONS: Well, Mr. Chairman, I didn't notify Mr. Weingarten. I don't know if anybody else did in the Election Commission so...

MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Beyond posting it on the web site and putting the notice outside of our office, I'm not aware of any other additional outreach to individuals on the agenda today.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: You're saying it was posted on the web site?

MS. A. NUSSMEYER: The agenda was posted on the web site, yes, Mr. Chairman.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Does anyone from Bingham Greenebaum Doll know that it was on the agenda today?

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Well, I don't know because they're not here. If they saw it on the web site, they would be notified. I'm going to move that the request to reconsider be denied. I think we talked about this ourselves with the information that we had at the last meeting. Didn't see anything that necessarily would be different. Denied.

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: I have a motion in second, is there any further discussion?

PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Mr. Chairman, just as an observation, as Mr. Long has indicated, perhaps we should need -- to make some time for people to do that. I'm certainly in agreement with Vice Chairman Long that this is an appropriate -- well, a legitimate and legal campaign expenditure.

2.4

So I don't have an issue with dismissing it, but perhaps just from a perception issue in the future that perhaps notice to the parties that something is on the agenda may be warranted.

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: If I could just respond to that. My concern is that what we're trying to do here is create a preliminary stage where we as a commission, based upon what was submitted on the four corners of the paper, determine should we move forward with the hearing, or an investigation, or whatever they're asking for, which then says let's notify not only the complainant but also we should notify the party that's being complained about.

PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Sure.

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: And we turn it then into a hearing. And if we do that step at the -- when it's currently the preliminary stage, we're setting ourselves up for basically quasi hearings for every email and letter and call that we get and it takes away our, what I believe to be appropriate discretion as to what should move forward and what should not.

And I think what needs to be explained is

that thank you for your letter and everything you've put in there, that you probably would have said here anyway, we're going to look at that and make a determination based upon our experience as election commissioners -- should we move forward, and if we do, we're going to notify you, we're going to notify the party being complained of, and we're going to conduct

it in a hearing type scenario.

And this idea that's being proposed or suggested that maybe it should happen creates that step and I think eliminates our discretion to maybe quote/unquote weed things out. So that's why I'm hesitant to say I agree or I endorse Commissioner Long's position, I suppose.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: My observation is many times we get complaints from people who are not as blessed as we are being lawyers, or first, as the case may be, and their perception of what we do here is important and our respect to them equally so.

The -- I disagree that we're creating an interim step. I would like -- it's almost like would you like to supplement anything that you've got here for our initial consideration,

2.1

not to call witnesses, but the person who filed the complaint be heard in court, sort of like you file a suit for somebody and the other side files a response and a motion to dismiss and the judge just rules on it without calling them in for a hearing, that would be reversed in about 15 seconds from the Supreme Court under the due process issues. But I mean that's a lot different standing.

I guess my last observation is -- and I agree, we should notify -- I'm not sure we ever notified this guy, from what I've read, that we did anything. I think he learned it from a newspaper reporter. And I just don't think we've treated a member of the Hoosier society fairly.

And I say this with all seriousness, I think, from what I hear, sitting here today, Speaker Bosma's committee expenditure, I have no problem with, unless somebody can show me that there is a problem with it.

But I think that the transparency and the responsibility we have to the citizenry would require at least a complaint that we look -- give them an opportunity for us to look them in

the face and say we're denying this because, and 1 they at least leave here maybe not satisfied 2 that they got what they wanted but they had 3 their day before us. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 than that. 11 12 13 14 15 16 opportunity to talk? 17 VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: 18 19 20 21 questions that we might have. 22 23 hearing stage of it. 24

That's where -- I mean this isn't a catastrophic can issue. I was really hoping he'd be here today and we could probably repeat what we did before, but since nobody's chosen to notify him, he's going to learn about it from a newspaper reporter, and I think we're better

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: Commissioner Long, if we took that route, would you also suggest that the party that's opposite the complaint be notified?

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Oh, sure.

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: And also given an

Sure.

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: And present evidence?

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I don't know about -- maybe to be available to answer

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: I just think we're at the

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I don't think --

what do you -- how do you -- why do you think this is unlawful -- give me some information, and if they can't communicate that, then they failed. But right now, I don't think we -- I mean I grew up in a practice of laws as a notice-pleading state to -- I just feel very uncomfortable with this

And I don't know if I got quoted on it. I told the reporter that spent a half hour or more on the phone with me, chewing on me for what we did, that I was fully prepared and was willing to let him come again and to speak his mind, but -- now, that's the position I'm going to take today.

If this motion fails, I'm going to make a motion that we put it on the next agenda and then we invite both sides to come in and make the decision and put it to rest.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: I was actually going to suggest that myself after hearing everything that's been said today. I think it would be appropriate to examine these notice procedures in a situation where it's not tied to any particular case, but just generalities of would be the appropriate way to handle these sorts of

2.1

things.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I think as we sit here today, we're bound by the procedures that currently exist and what we've done in the past, and for that reason, I would hope to get support for the motion to deny the request to reconsider. Any further discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Hearing none, all in favor of denying the motion to reconsider, say aye?

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: Aye.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Aye. All opposed, say nay?

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Nay.

PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Nay.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: We have a deadlock 2-2 between the ayes and the nays, so the motion does not pass.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I would make a motion that we put this on the agenda for our next regularly scheduled meeting and invite both the complainant and the speaker's committee, respondent, whatever the right term is, to be present, and not to offer evidence, but to

answer any question in a preliminary inquiry where we're determining whether or not there's sufficient -- and the statute's pretty clear.

In fact, I reviewed that when you made your motion that whatever level of standard we have to authorize an investigation, whether it's -- I'm not doing this to embarrass the speaker. I think it's better for his position, personally.

I have no reason to dislike him, except he didn't give enough for public education, but beyond that, the -- this clears the air, and it's a public proclamation that we think this was a lawful expenditure, and unless there's something out there -- and the response from Bingham Greenebaum Doll, if there was something that says this is legal, we would have been cited for it, and I think their argument made sense. We've got a poor guy that -- again, he's not a lawyer, is he? He's your county chairman.

PROXY M. CLAYTOR: He's my county chairman, and I don't --

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: But they still don't get from the top legally confirmed in this country, and -- but that's why I make the motion.

1 CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Is there a second for that motion? 2 3 PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Second. CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Let me offer this in 4 discussion. I think, as I've said before, that 5 6 it is appropriate to address these notice 7 procedures, but I feel like it's very important 8 to do it in an objective forward thinking 9 manner, not tied to any particular set of facts 10 or circumstances or particular parties. 11 I think that is the way to post fairly and 12 objectively look at the procedures to determine 13 what's fair and appropriate, what complies with 14 any due process obligations we might have. So 15 for that reason, I will uphold the motion. Is 16 there any further discussion? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: All in favor of the 19 motion, say aye? 20 VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Aye. 21 PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Aye. 22 CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: All opposed, say nay, 23 nay. 24 MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: Nay. 25 CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: We have two ayes and

The motion does not carry. two nays.

2

3

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG:

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then we don't do anything with it.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: So we move on to our next agenda item, which is under the yellow tab in our books, and I would ask that Mr. Simmons provide us with a background.

MR. D. SIMMONS: The documents relevant to this particular item are behind the yellow tab. We have an April 12th letter from the State's Democratic Party Chair, John Zody, about an alleged defective report filed by Eric Holcomb for Indiana Committee. There's a April 15, a letter from that committee, Eric Holcomb, for Indiana Committee responding to that. And then a follow up, a May 3rd letter filed -- no, it's an April 15th letter from the Election Division to Eric Holcomb's committee advising them of the complaint. There's a May 3rd letter from Eric Holcomb for Indiana Committee responding to the complaint. And then there's a letter -- a follow-up letter dated May 8th from Chairman Zody. And, finally, there's a letter responding to that letter dated May 15th from Kyle Hupfer, the Treasurer for Holcomb for Indiana Committee,

1	and those are the documents in the back,
2	Mr. Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: I would ask Miss
4	Nussmeyer, is there anything else you'd like to
5	add to that?
6	MS. A. NUSSMEYER: I think Mr. Simmons, Mr.
7	Chairman, summarized the issue appropriately.
8	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Thank you. Any
9	discussion?
10	PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Mr. Chairman, I don't
11	know if I just didn't catch this, but apparently
12	the report has been amended. I assume that's
13	the Holcomb for Governor report has been amended
14	as to certain expenditures.
15	Could I get some more detail so that I can
16	understand that? I don't know if Mr. Simmons
17	MR. D. SIMMONS: I'm not familiar with that
18	amendment.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: You said in this
20	letter
21	PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Oh, I thought that's
22	what this last item in here was.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: You said
24	MR. D. SIMMONS: I'm just referring, if
25	it's referenced, what's in the packet.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: It's in the packet.
2	MR. D. SIMMONS: All right. I'm not
3	familiar with it. I haven't seen it.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Have you seen it?
5	MS. A. NUSSMEYER: I have, Mr. Vice
6	Chairman. So, as I understand it, and
7	Mr. Kochevar can correct me if I'm wrong, I
8	believe as part of the original complaint there
9	were some questions about whether or not a
10	specific line item was specific to it was
11	noted as fundraising, where I believe the
12	committee switched it to operations.
13	So the first line here, if you're looking
14	at the report, it originally was noted as
15	fundraising. It was then changed or amended to
16	read operations because the concern was I
17	believe it was just mislabeled as fundraising
18	when instead it was a staff meal at an event.
19	It wasn't specific to a fundraiser. It was
20	specific to staff attending an event, as I
21	understand.
22	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Any discussion by
23	Members of the Commission?
24	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Is anybody here

I hate from either one of these entities to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

present for what we're considering today?

AUDENCE MEMBER: Yes, Commissioner Long.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Everyone knows She's up here once in a while. Erin.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: We're not in a -we're not in a position to take testimony because we have not opened up the investigation The purpose of this meeting today is hearing. to determine whether it is appropriate to open an investigation at a hearing?

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Then I have some questions that are unanswered and I ask them rhetorically. First of all, I don't know who the vendor is and I don't care. I read somewhere, in my trying to familiarize myself with this, that this vendor made this plane available to the -- the Governor Association. And, in fact, that was reported by the vendor as an in-kind contribution and in-kind receipt. don't know that. That's certainly not evidentiary in my source, but it was enough to raise a concern in my mind.

The second thing, as I understand -- my question is: Is that true? And if it is true, then that vendor recognize there was a political nature of that trip. If the RGA invited the governor -- excuse me, I apologize -- let me turn this off -- the RGA invited Governor Holcomb to fly out to -- I didn't even know what it was -- it was Aspen, Colorado, I'm guessing, for an RGA meeting.

Now if the purpose of the RGA meeting was philanthropic and not two events for a political cause of our Republican governor, including the governor of our state -- I would tell you I don't know if I've ever met with him, but I'm not unimpressed with some of the things he's done, then I think that everything we've been through at the national level would tell me that it's related to a campaign.

And I don't know if at that event there were any fundraisers for Governor Holcomb and his committee. I'm not being facetious. I've never been to a DGA meeting, so I don't know -- I get invited all the time, and it seems like there's fundraising that is accomplished at the meetings for the various candidates, some of which are receptions -- at least that's what my invitation is. The RGA hasn't invited me to any so I don't know.

1.2

2.4

т Э

But the -- those are questions that I think should be answered and investigated. Now, if we have to find at this level of the hearing that there is substantial evidence to warrant an investigation, I have to assume -- the corollary would be equally true, if there is a lack of evidence that could answer that question, that we should take further steps.

Now, whether we have an internal investigation to answer the question from staff for the commissioners -- I don't care how I learn it. But if the Holcomb committee received any reportable contributions from the RGA and they're transporting him to an event, I believe it is meant to be included as something of benefit to him and simply, simply be resolved that this is an oversight and have them report it as an in-kind back to him and it's done.

But I'm not here to -- it's a committee oversight. If it's -- if it got amended, I'd say problem solved. It's over with. This is not something that is malicious or malignant. It's an undertaking. I could accept it as being an oversight, but I think the finances -- particularly, what we're going through in

Washington now, I think nobody has any faith in the system. And this is, to me, a clerical error that can be fixed, simple. And to do it otherwise is going to add a taint to it that is not warranted.

And I don't want -- I don't want the governor embarrassed over this. I don't think he should be embarrassed because I think his committee needs to take care of the problem.

That's where I am. I can't vote that there is not evidence that would warrant a hearing in this matter. I would be willing to table it and ask for an internal look/see by both staffs to make a report back -- the big picture. That's all I've got to say.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Any further discussion?

PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Mr. Chairman, if I may.

I've been a campaign chair a number of times and

I would have to say the FEC gives a lot more

guidance in these kind of matters then the IEC

does; that would be really nice if we had a

little more guidance, and maybe that's something

that the co-directors could do as a project at

some point in the future as to what does and

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

does not constitute an in-kind contribution.

If I look at this -- and, obviously, they amended a report to show that there wasn't something coded fundraising at whatever date I don't have an issue with whoever this was. the entity was that had the plane, giving an in-kind to the RGA, but the RGA being such a major contributor to the governor, I don't know why the RGA then wouldn't report an in-kind.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Do we know that they didn't?

> VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: We don't know.

PROXY M. CLAYTOR: At least what this alleges is the Governor's campaign didn't report an in-kind from anybody related to this trip. I would think if this was a shortcoming, that the governor's campaign should have reported an in-kind from the RGA.

But, again, we -- I can't say that we have great quidance to our committees about what does and doesn't constitute an in-kind. Somebody catering fundraisers is pretty obvious, but other things -- you know, opposition research coming from somewhere -- obviously, under the FEC, that has to be valued as an in-kind.

I'm not sure what Indiana's guidance is on that kind of front. So I don't know if maybe, as Anthony said, that maybe we need some direction or some look by the co-directors at whether or not there really is an issue here.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Any further discussion from Members of the Commission?

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: Well, I'll just say a couple of words. You know, I think there is some guidance. The Indiana Campaign Finance manual is made available to candidates and I think prepared by the Election Division and it's very well done. It maybe could be more thorough, but I think it does have provisions in there as to what an in-kind contribution is, and it's probably -- probably vague on purpose.

My recollection is it's something of value, monetary or otherwise that benefits a campaign. And I think a lot of the things that Chairman Anthony talked about -- or Chairman Long, I'm sorry -- Commissioner Long talked about were a recitation of the letters we received from the Democratic Party, but ignored some of the responses from the Republican -- or the Governor's office, and that being that this

flight was reported.

2.5

It was reported by the RGA as an in-kind contribution because of the two -- the RGA, the governor, the RGA felt it was the beneficiary of that flight and not the governor. And why was that? Well, we're told it's because there was no political purpose and the RGA felt they were benefiting by having the governor there and the mode of transportation of getting the governor there was in flight and, therefore, the RGA reported it as an in-kind contribution.

The corollary here appears to be -- the Democrats refute that because certain contributions were recorded or reported on the dates of travel which those dates have nothing to do with -- in terms of when they were received or where they were received.

And I think it's kind of a tenuous, perhaps reckless corollary that because he was traveling on these particular dates and received contributions from, I think, Indianapolis donors on the same dates doesn't necessarily mean -- in fact, we're told were from events long ago.

They just happened to be deposited and deemed received and therefore that's the date used in

1 the report.

2.2

So I don't see the necessity here for reaching that threshold that, yeah, there's a lot of unanswered questions. We have two parties, the Governor and the RGA, that said we -- of the two of us, we agree that the beneficiary of this flight was the RGA and therefore the RGA recorded it as a contribution, an in-kind and, therefore, a contribution and expenditure.

AUDENCE MEMBER: Can I address the this on behalf of the Party and not testify as to facts. Maybe answer some of the questions that have --

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Staff counsel is here. Is there anything you'd like to say, Mr. Kochevar?

MR. M. KOCHEVAR: I do know

Commissioner Klutz has mentioned that we do have
a paragraph in our campaign finance manual on
what -- the co-directors have described what
in-kind contribution is. I happen to have that
paragraph here. I'm happy to read it if the
commissioners would like me to, and that's just
the one of the things I would note. And if
there are any other questions, I think the

-

answer that for the Commission but...

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Isn't the issue here which party is claiming the in-kind contribution more than anything else and we have the information from the Governor's Association that they reported it as an in-kind contribution?

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: That's not the issue. I think that's -- that's a good articulation of where we disagree. I think one act can be the in-kind from the vendor to the RGA, and the RGA uses that in-kind to confer a benefit on the Holcomb for Governor Committee, then that would be an in-kind that way.

So I don't -- it's an automatic -- getting it reported once -- it apparently was reported once, and I want -- I want to see the governor campaign finance report and I want to see if he raised any money in Aspen Colorado for the RGA.

PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Mr. Chairman, to analogize and something that's commonly an accepted thing and covered by the campaign finance manual, our passthrough pass and passthrough contributions.

So, for example, there's an entity called

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

ActBlue. It is a PAC registered in every state. Individuals make contributions to the PAC. That PAC distributes that contribution to a person designated by the individual.

So I make a contribution to ActBlue, to Anthony Long for Dogcatcher Committee, Anthony on his report reports --

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: You're reaching high today.

PROXY M. CLAYTOR: -- reports a contribution from me, not from ActBlue because it's a passthrough, and this seems to me to almost be exactly analogous, that it's a passthrough in-kind because the person receiving the benefit is the Governor in his campaign filing.

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: And you're saying that solely based upon in the past the RGA has contributed to the governor.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Not necessarily.

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: There's no -- there's

no --

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: What if they hand him a ticket, a commercial ticket, send it to here, Governor, come fly out and see us, do you

	2 3 3 2 3 2
1	think he'd have to report that?
2	MEMBER Z. KLUTZ: Not if it's for official
3	business under
4	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: You don'tit's
5	the Republican Governor Association. I mean the
6	Democrat Governor didn't do that. They went out
7	there and
8	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Let's refocus on the
9	question before us. We've been asked to
L O	determine whether an investigation should be
L1	initiated on this issue. So I would ask if
L 2	there's a motion?
L 3	PROXY M. CLAYTOR: I would ask that an
L 4	investigation be open to this issue.
15	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: All right. Is there
16	a second?
17	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I'd like to comment
18	before I
19	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: We won't have a
2 0	discussion unless there's a second.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: I'll second with
22	the option to withdraw my second. Now, if you
23	all want to push this to a formal investigation,
24	that's okay with me. I will vote for that.

If you want to approach it in a situation

1	where we allow both sides to submit their
2	positions with regard to the facts that we've
3	raised and questions that we have and then we
4	put this off down the road and look at it again,
5	and then from those, the new complaints
6	supplemented, the new response supplemented, the
7	old whatever is supplemented, then we make a
8	decision whether or not there is substantial
9	evidence to warrant an investigation.
10	My question today and my position is
11	substantially not known evidence that would call
12	for an investigation. My second on, so we've
13	got a motion.
14	
-	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Okay. So we have a
15	motion and a second, do you wish to withdraw
16	your second?
17	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Nope.
18	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: So we have a motion
19	and a second. We've had plenty of discussion.
20	All in favor of the motion, say aye?
21	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Aye.
22	PROXY M. CLAYTOR: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: All opposed, say nay?
24	Nav

Nay.

MEMBER Z. KLUTZ:

25

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: We have two ayes and two nays. We have a deadlock. Motion does not pass.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: So I would invite the parties to submit anything more that they want because we haven't acted on anything.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Very well. At this point, if you would allow me to raise the point of personal privilege. I'd just like to say this is my last meeting as Chair of the Indiana Election Commission.

I'm going to end my tenure on July 1st, and it has been a true privilege and honor to serve since 2011 pursuant to appointments by three separate governors, and I want to publicly acknowledge my appreciation for the wisdom and the guidance of my fellow commission members, both the Democrats and the Republicans on this commission, and express my thanks for the strong support and critical assistance that I've received from Co-Director Brad King and Co-General Counsel Dale Simmons and their entire staff. It's been a pleasure to work with them as well as Co-Director Angie Nussmeyer and Co-General Counsel, Matthew Kochevar.

Finally, I want to thank my family members who made it here before the hearing ended, to see their first Indiana Election Commission hearing.

MS. A. NUSSMEYER: And last.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: And last.

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: And last. And I'd like to thank all of those who have come before the Commission over the years, showing respect for the process. And with that, the Indiana Commission has finished its business for today; is there a motion?

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Might I have a moment of personal privilege?

CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: As one who has served on this, I don't know, 20 years maybe, as I told you earlier today, I've always believed with very few exceptions and nobody in this room today was a part of that, that we were able to function effectively, the two political lineups.

I think in 20 years, I told you that I consider you one of the folks that I felt friendship and respect, that people can disagree but not be disagreeable, and it's been a

1	pleasure to serve with you.
2	You have served your state well. You have
3	been loyal to your government. You have been
4	loyal to your party. And I think in that
5	order and I will think back on the years of
6	my service with you on that.
7	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Thank you,
8	Commissioner Long.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN A. LONG: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Much appreciated.
11	(Applause.)
12	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: With that, is there a
13	motion for the Indiana Election Commission to
14	adjourn?
15	PROXY M. CLAYTOR: So moved.
16	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: Those in favor, say
17	aye?
18	(Chorus of ayes.)
19	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: All of those opposed,
20	nay?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN B. BENNETT: The ayes have it.
23	(The meeting adjourned at 2:33 P.M.)
24	
25	

	Page 39
1	STATE OF INDIANA
	) SS:
2	COUNTY OF HENDRICKS
3	I, Rhonda J. Hobbs, RPR, a Notary
4	Public in and for the County of Hendricks, State
5	of Indiana at large, do hereby certify that on
6	the 21st day of June, 2019, I took down
7	stenographically the foregoing proceedings, and
8	that the transcript is a full, true and correct
9	transcript made from my stenographic notes.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
11	set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this
12	11th day of July, 2019.
13	
14	
15	Rhanda Hebtth
16	proportion of
	NOTARY PUBLIC
17	
18	
19	My Commission Expires:
	August 24, 2025
20	County of Residence:
	Hendricks
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	accomplished	amendment 22:18	asking 12.12
	25:21	analogize 32:21	8
11th 7:15 9:15	acknowledge	analogous 33:13	aspen 25:5 32:19
39:12	36:16	angela 2:13	assistance 36:20 association 24:17
<b>12th</b> 21:10	act 32:11	angie 3:11 36:24	A STATE OF THE STA
<b>130</b> 1:10	actblue 33:1,5,11	angre 5.11 50.24 answer 16:21 19:1	32:6 34:5
<b>15</b> 15:7 21:13	acted 36:6	26:7,10 31:13	assume 22:12 26:5
<b>15th</b> 21:17,24	action 8:17	32:2	attending 23:20
1:30 1:12	add 22:5 27:4	answered 26:2	audence 24:2
1:48 3:2	additional 11:24		31:11
1st 36:12	address 20:6	anthony 2:4 3:6	august 39:19
2	31:11	29:3,20 33:6,6	authorize 19:6
<b>2-2</b> 18:18	adjourn 38:14	anybody 11:9,20	automatic 32:15
<b>20</b> 37:17,22		23:24 28:15	available 16:21
200 1:11	adjourned 38:23 adopted 5:5	anyway 14:3	24:17 29:11
<b>2011</b> 36:14	adopted 5:5 advised 8:6	apologize 25:2	aware 11:8,24
2019 1:12 3:25 4:5	advised 8:6 advising 21:18	apparently 22:11 32:16	aye 4:25 6:22
4:16 9:15 39:6,12	affix 5:16		18:11,12,13 20:19
<b>2025</b> 39:19		appear 11:4	20:20,21 35:20,21
<b>21</b> 1:12	<b>affixed</b> 5:21,24 6:4 39:11	appears 30:12	35:22 38:17
<b>21155</b> 39:16	<b>afford</b> 10:18	applause 38:11	ayes 5:1,4 6:23 7:2
21st 39:6		appointments	18:18 20:25 36:1
<b>24</b> 39:19	agenda 11:13,14	36:14	38:18,22
<b>27th</b> 7:13	11:25 12:3,7 13:4	appreciated 38:10	b
<b>2:33</b> 38:23	17:16 18:21 21:5	appreciation	<b>b</b> 3:3,23 4:15,20
3	ago 30:23	36:16	4:22,24 5:2,4,10
	agree 14:14 15:11 31:6	approach 34:25	5:15,18,21,25 6:3
<b>31</b> 9:11	NO.40140000000000000000000000000000000000	appropriate 12:24	6:13,17,19,21,24
<b>31st</b> 3:25 4:5,16	agreed 6:10 agreement 6:11	13:23 17:22,25	7:2,22 8:10,13,18
7:9	12:22	20:6,13 24:9	8:22 9:4,17,23
<b>3rd</b> 21:16,19	agreements 6:6,16	appropriately	11:11,14 12:1,8,17
4	ahead 4:6	22:7	17:19 18:9,13,17
<b>4-0</b> 9:19	air 19:11	approval 3:25	20:1,4,18,22,25
<b>46204</b> 1:11		approve 4:16 5:5	21:4 22:3,8 23:22
8	allegations 10:3 alleged 21:12	6:15	24:5 27:16 28:10
	alleges 28:14	approved 7:3	29:6 31:14 32:3
8th 21:22	allow 10:17 35:1	april 7:15 21:10 21:13,17	34:8,15,19 35:14
a	36:8		35:18,23 36:1,7
able 5:14 37:20	amended 22:12,13	argument 19:17 articulation 32:10	37:7,15 38:7,10,12
accept 6:14 26:23	23:15 26:20 28:3	asked 7:19 34:9	38:16,19,22 39:16
accepted 32:22	23.13 20.20 20.3	askeu /.19 54.9	back 22:1 26:18
			27:14 38:5

background 8:15	blessed 14:18	chair 21:11 27:19	citizenry 15:23
21:7	blue 7:16	36:10	claim 9:25
based 13:9 14:4	books 21:6	chairman 2:3,4	claiming 32:4
33:18	bosma's 9:8 10:1	3:3,6,6,20,23 4:3	clayton 2:6
basically 13:20	15:19	4:10,11,15,18,20	claytor 3:7,7 8:16
behalf 31:12	<b>bound</b> 18:2	4:22,24 5:2,4,6,10	8:20 12:19 13:16
<b>believe</b> 4:6 7:18	<b>brad</b> 3:10 36:21	5:13,15,18,20,21	18:16 19:20 20:3
8:6 10:13 13:23	bradley 2:12	5:23,25 6:3,8,13	20:21 22:10,21
23:8,11,17 26:14	brian 9:8	6:15,17,19,21,24	27:18 28:13 32:20
believed 37:18	bryce 2:3 3:6	7:2,7,18,22,24	33:10 34:13 35:22
beneficiary 30:4	business 34:3	8:10,13,16,18,22	38:15
31:7	37:11	8:24 9:4,6,17,20	clear 19:3
benefit 26:16	c	9:23,24 11:11,13	clears 19:11
32:13 33:15	<b>c</b> 39:16	11:14,16,18 12:1,4	clerical 27:2
benefiting 30:8	call 13:21 15:1	12:5,8,17,19,23	closed 9:3
benefits 29:18	35:11	14:16 16:15,18,20	coded 28:4
bennett 2:3 3:3,6	called 3:4 32:25	16:25 17:19 18:9	colorado 25:5
3:23 4:10,15,20,22	calling 15:5	18:13,15,17,20	32:19
4:24 5:2,4,6,10,15	campaign 2:16 9:8	19:19,20,22 20:1,4	come 10:15,18
5:18,21,25 6:3,13	10:20 12:25 25:15	20:18,20,22,25	17:12,17 33:25
6:17,19,21,24 7:2	27:19 28:14,17	21:2,4,22 22:2,3,7	37:8
7:22 8:10,13,18,22	29:10,18 31:19	22:8,10,19,23 23:1	coming 28:24
9:4,17,23 11:11,14	32:18,22 33:15	23:4,6,22,24 24:3	comment 34:17
12:1,8,17 17:19	candidacy 10:4,5	24:5,11 27:16,18	commercial 33:24
18:9,13,17 20:1,4	candidates 25:22	28:10,12 29:6,19	commission 1:3
20:18,22,25 21:4	29:11	29:20 31:14 32:3	2:1,5,6 3:4,5,17,21
22:3,8 23:22 24:5	care 24:14 26:11	32:8,20 33:8,20,23	3:25 6:9 7:8,10,10
27:16 28:10 29:6	27:9	34:4,8,15,17,19,21	9:7,11 10:15
31:14 32:3 34:8	carry 21:1	35:14,17,18,21,23	11:20 13:9 23:23
34:15,19 35:14,18	case 14:19 17:24	36:1,4,7 37:6,7,13	29:7 32:2 36:11
35:23 36:1,7 37:7	catastrophic 16:6	37:15,16 38:7,9,10	36:17,19 37:3,9,11
37:15 38:7,10,12	catch 22:11	38:12,16,19,22	38:13 39:19
38:16,19,22	catering 28:22	changed 23:15	commissioner
best 10:23	caught 4:12	chewing 17:10	14:15 16:12 24:2
better 16:10 19:8	cause 10:25 25:9	chorus 5:1 6:23	29:21 31:18 38:8
beyond 11:22	certain 22:14	38:18	commissioners
19:11	30:13	chosen 16:8	14:5 26:11 31:23
big 27:14	certainly 10:25	circumstances	committee 7:12,14
bingham 12:6	12:22 24:20	20:10	7:20 9:9,10 10:1
19:15	certify 39:5	<b>cited</b> 19:17	15:19 18:23 21:13
			21:14,15,18,20,25

23:12 25:18 26:12	contributor 28:8	doofening 11.17	1.
26:19 27:9 32:13		deafening 11:17	disagreeable
33:6	copy 4:5,9	deal 9:1	37:25
	corners 13:10	dealt 8:1	discretion 13:23
committees 6:10	corollary 26:5	decision 17:18	14:12
28:20	30:12,19	35:8	discussed 7:25
commonly 32:21	correct 23:7 39:8	deemed 30:24	discussion 4:22
communicate 17:3	counsel 2:14,15	defective 21:12	6:19 8:11 9:21
complainant	3:12,13 31:14	democrat 34:6	12:18 18:7 20:5
10:14 11:7 13:14	36:22,25	democratic 21:11	20:16 22:9 23:22
18:23	counsels 32:1	29:23	27:17 29:7 34:20
complained 11:4	country 19:24	democrats 30:13	35:19
13:15 14:8	county 19:19,20	36:18	dislike 19:9
complaint 7:8 9:7	39:2,4,20	denied 9:12 12:11	dismiss 8:17 15:4
15:2,24 16:14	<b>couple</b> 4:12 29:9	12:15	dismissing 13:1
21:19,21 23:8	course 8:1	deny 9:18,24 18:5	disposed 8:25
complaints 14:17	court 3:13 15:2,7	denying 16:1	distributed 4:6
35:5	covered 10:20	18:10	distributes 33:3
compliance 3:22	32:22	deposited 30:24	division 2:10 3:9
complies 20:13	create 13:8	described 31:20	7:11 21:17 29:12
concern 13:7	creates 14:11	designated 33:4	document 7:5
23:16 24:22	creating 14:22	detail 22:15	documents 21:8
conduct 14:8	critical 36:20	determination	22:1
confer 32:12	currently 13:19	14:4	dogcatcher 33:6
confirm 3:17	18:3	determine 13:11	doing 19:7
confirmed 19:23	d	20:12 24:9 34:10	doll 12:6 19:15
connor 3:14	<b>d</b> 7:7 9:6 11:18	determining 19:2	donors 30:21
consensus 6:4		detrimental 10:5	door 3:19,22
consider 37:23	21:8 22:17,24 23:2	dga 25:19	due 10:16 15:7
consideration		difference 8:9	20:14
14:25	dale 2:14 3:12 7:4	different 12:15	duty 10:13
considering 24:1	36:22	15:9	e
constitute 28:1,21	dan 7:12	direction 29:4	
contained 7:15	date 28:4 30:25	director 2:12,13	earlier 37:18
contributed 33:19	dated 7:15 21:22	3:10,11 4:4 36:21	education 19:10
contribution	21:24	36:24	effectively 37:21
24:19 28:1 29:15	dates 30:15,15,20	directors 3:17	either 23:25
30:3,11 31:8,9,21	30:22	27:24 29:4 31:20	<b>election</b> 1:3 2:1,10
32:4,7 33:3,5,11	day 7:25 10:11	32:1	3:3,9 7:11 11:20
contributions	16:4 39:6,12	disagree 14:22	14:5 21:17 29:12
26:13 30:14,21	de 8:5	32:10 37:24	36:11 37:3 38:13
32:24 33:2	deadlock 18:17	J2.10 J1.27	eliminates 14:12
	36:2		
	Connor F		

email 13:21	explained 13:25	finances 26:24	g
embarrass 19:7	express 36:19	find 4:8 26:3	general 3:11,12
embarrassed 27:7	f	finished 37:11	36:22,25
27:8	face 9:25 10:8 11:4	first 14:19 23:13	
embarrassment	The same and	24:13 37:3	generalities 17:24
11:1	16:1	<b>fixed</b> 27:3	george 7:5
ended 37:2	facetious 25:18	flight 30:1,5,10	getting 30:9 32:15
endorse 14:15	fact 19:4 24:18	31:7	give 8:15 15:25
entire 36:22	30:23	fly 25:4 33:25	17:2 19:10
entities 23:25	facts 20:9 31:12	folks 37:23	given 16:16
entity 28:6 32:25	35:2	follow 7:11 21:16	gives 27:20
equally 14:21 26:6	failed 17:4	21:22	giving 28:6
eric 21:12,14,18	fails 17:15	following 3:4	<b>go</b> 7:21
21:20	fair 10:16 20:13	foregoing 39:7	going 8:25 12:10
erin 24:4	fairly 15:16 20:11	formal 34:23	14:3,6,7,8 16:9
errata 4:13	fairness 10:16	forward 10:18	17:13,15,19 26:25
error 27:3	faith 27:1	13:11,24 14:6	27:4 36:12
errors 4:8,13	<b>falls</b> 10:8	20:8	<b>good</b> 32:9
event 23:18,20	familiar 22:17	four 13:10	government 38:3
25:16 26:14	23:3		governor 22:13
events 25:8 30:23	familiarize 24:15	friday 1:12 friends 10:25	24:17 25:2,3,9,10
evidence 11:2	family 37:1		25:17 27:7 28:8
For appropriate the property of the property o	favor 4:25 6:22	friendship 37:24	30:4,5,8,9 31:5
16:19 18:25 26:4	18:10 20:18 35:20	front 29:2	32:13,17 33:15,19
26:7 27:11 35:9	38:16	full 39:8	33:25 34:5,6
35:11	february 9:15	fully 17:11	governor's 28:14
evidentiary 24:21	fec 27:20 28:25	function 37:21	28:17 29:25 32:6
exactly 33:13	feel 17:6 20:7	fundamental	governors 36:15
examine 17:22	feeling 10:7 11:1	10:16	great 28:20
example 32:25	<b>fellow</b> 36:17	fundraiser 23:19	greenebaum 12:6
exceptions 37:19	felt 30:4,7 37:23	fundraisers 25:17	19:15
excuse 25:2	fernandez 7:6,9	28:22	grew 17:5
exist 18:3	file 15:3	fundraising 23:11	guess 15:10
expenditure 10:9	filed 7:8 9:14,14	23:15,17 25:21	guessing 25:5
12:25 15:19 19:13	15:1 21:12,16	28:4	guidance 27:21,23
31:10	files 15:4	further 7:21 11:2	28:20 29:1,10
expenditures 9:10		12:18 18:6 20:16	36:17
11:3 22:14	filing 33:16	26:8 27:16 29:6	
expense 10:20	finally 21:23 37:1	future 13:3 27:25	gut 10:7
experience 14:5	finance 2:16 9:8	The second secon	guy 15:12 19:18
expires 39:19	29:10 31:19 32:18		
	32:23		
		Panorting	

1		25.24	
h	i	invitation 25:24	knew 10:18
half 17:9	idea 14:10	invite 17:17 18:22	know 5:7,13 8:9
hand 33:23 39:11	iec 27:21	36:4	10:24 11:16,19
handle 17:25	ignored 29:23	invited 25:1,3,20	12:6,8 16:20 17:8
happen 14:11	important 14:20	25:24	22:11,16 24:13,20
31:21	20:7	involves 9:7	25:4,11,16,19,25
happened 30:24	inclement 10:11	issue 7:20 13:1,2	28:8,10,12,23 29:2
happy 31:22 32:1	included 26:15	16:6 22:7 28:5	29:9 31:17 37:17
hate 23:25	including 25:9	29:5 32:3,9 34:11	knowledge 8:3
hear 15:18	indiana 1:3,11 2:1	34:14	known 35:11
heard 15:2	2:10 3:9 21:13,15	issues 10:14 15:8	knows 24:3
hearing 4:24 6:12	21:20,25 29:10	item 11:13,14 21:5	kochevar 2:15
6:21 8:13 11:9,12	36:10 37:3,10	21:9 22:22 23:10	3:13 23:7 31:16
13:12,18 14:9	38:13 39:1,5	j	31:17 36:25
15:6 16:24 17:20	indiana's 29:1	j 1:21 2:12 39:3	kyle 21:24
18:9 24:8,10 26:3	indianapolis 1:11	january 3:25 4:5	1
27:11 37:2,4	30:21	4:16 7:9 9:11	1 39:16
hearings 13:21	indicate 4:12	joe 8:14 9:5	lack 26:6
hendricks 39:2,4	indicated 12:20	john 21:11	large 39:5
39:20	individual 10:2	join 3:10	law 3:19,22 10:21
hereunto 39:10	33:4	judge 15:5	lawful 11:5 19:13
hesitant 14:14	individuals 11:25	july 36:12 39:12	laws 17:5
high 33:9	33:2	june 1:12 39:6	The same of the sa
hobbs 1:21 3:14	information 12:13	justice 8:7	lawyer 19:19 lawyers 14:18
39:3	17:2 32:6		learn 16:9 26:12
holcomb 21:12,14	initial 14:25	k	
21:20,25 22:13	initiated 34:11	keeping 11:5	learned 15:13
25:4,17 26:12	inquiry 19:1	kind 24:19,19	leave 16:2
32:13	interest 10:24	26:18 27:21 28:1	legal 2:14,15 10:7
holcomb's 21:18	interim 14:23	28:7,9,15,18,21,25	12:24 19:16
honest 8:8	internal 26:9	29:2,15 30:2,11,18	legally 19:23
honor 36:13	27:13	31:9,21 32:4,7,11	legitimate 10:9
hoosier 15:15	investigate 9:1,9	32:12,14 33:14	12:24
hope 18:5	9:12	king 2:12 3:10 4:4	leonard 7:12
hoping 16:6	investigated 26:2	36:21	letter 7:13 9:2
hour 17:9	investigation 7:23	klutz 2:5 3:9 4:21	13:21 14:1 21:10
house 1:10	8:23 9:18 10:2	6:18 12:16 13:6	21:14,16,17,19,21
hupfer 21:24	13:12 19:6 24:7	13:17 16:12,16,19	21:22,23,24 22:20
	24:10 26:5,10	16:23 18:12 20:24	letters 29:22
	34:10,14,23 35:9	29:8 31:18 33:17	level 19:5 25:14
	35:12	33:21 34:2 35:25	26:3
	33.12		
Connor Reporting			

line 23:10,13	man 11:9		
lineups 37:21		minimis 8:5	never 8:2,18 25:19
list 6:10	manner 20:9 manual 29:11	minutes 4:1,4 5:5	new 35:5,6
little 27:23	31:19 32:23	5:6,8 7:25	newspaper 15:14
local 8:4	The state of the s	mislabeled 23:17	16:10
long 2:4 3:7 4:11	march 7:13	mode 30:9	nice 27:22
4:18 5:13,20,23	matt 3:13	moment 6:13	nobody's 16:8
6:15 7:18,24 8:24	matter 7:5 8:14	37:14	nope 35:17
9:20,24 11:13,16	27:12	monetary 29:18	normally 8:17
	matters 27:21	money 10:1 32:19	notarial 39:11
12:5,20,23 14:16	matthew 2:15	motion 4:15,19 5:4	notary 1:22 39:3
16:12,15,18,20,25	36:25	6:1,14 7:3 9:12,14	notated 4:9
18:15,20 19:22	meal 23:18	9:16,18,22 12:17	note 31:24
20:20 21:2 22:19	mean 15:8 16:5	15:4 17:15,16	noted 3:2 23:11,14
22:23 23:1,4,24	17:5 30:22 34:5	18:5,10,18,21 19:5	notes 39:9
24:2,3,11 28:12	meant 26:15	19:25 20:2,15,19	notice 1:4 11:23
29:20,21 30:23	meeting 3:17,21	21:1 34:12 35:13	13:3 17:6,22 20:6
32:8 33:6,8,20,23	4:7 7:9 9:11 12:13	35:15,18,20 36:2	noticed 3:18,21
34:4,17,21 35:17	18:22 24:8 25:6,7	37:12 38:13	notified 11:9
35:21 36:4 37:6	25:19 36:10 38:23	move 3:24 5:23	12:10 15:12 16:14
37:13,16 38:8,9	meetings 4:16	6:5,15 8:14 9:4	<b>notify</b> 10:14 11:19
long's 14:15	25:22	12:11 13:11,24	13:13,15 14:7,7
look 14:3 15:24,25	member 2:5,6 3:8	14:6 21:4	15:11 16:9
20:12 27:13 28:2	3:9 4:21 6:18	moved 38:15	number 27:19
29:4 35:4	12:16 13:6,17	n	nussmeyer 2:13
looking 23:13	15:15 16:12,16,19	n 39:16	3:11,20,24 4:2,3
lot 15:8 27:20	16:23 18:12 20:24	national 25:14	5:9,12,16 11:22
29:19 31:4	24:2 29:8 31:11	nature 25:1	12:3 22:4,6 23:5
loyal 38:3,4	33:17,21 34:2	nay 5:2 6:25 18:14	36:24 37:5
m	35:25	18:15,16 20:22,23	0
m 2:13 6:8 8:16,20	members 3:5,20	20:24 35:23,24,25	
12:19 13:16 18:16	4:6 6:8 7:7 9:6	38:20	o 39:16
19:20 20:3,21	23:23 29:7 36:17	nays 18:18 21:1	objection 5:19
22:10,21 27:18	37:1	36:2	objective 20:8
28:13 31:17 32:20	mentioned 31:18	necessarily 12:14	objectively 20:12
33:10 34:13 35:22	merit 10:6	30:22 33:20	obligations 20:14
38:15	met 25:11	necessity 31:2	observation 11:6
major 28:8	michelle 2:16	need 8:16 12:21	12:20 14:16 15:10
making 10:3	mike 2:6 3:7,7	29:3	obvious 28:22
malicious 26:22	mind 10:9 17:12		obviously 28:2,24
malignant 26:22	24:22	needs 7:21 13:25	offer 18:25 20:4
20,22		27:9	office 11:24 29:25

official 34:2	part 23:8 37:20	point 27:25 36:8,8	properly 3:18
oh 8:20 16:15	particular 17:24	political 10:4	proposed 14:10
22:21	20:9,10 21:9	24:25 25:8 30:7	prosecutor 8:4
okay 5:12,25 8:10	30:20	37:21	provide 21:7
9:23 34:24 35:14	particularly 26:25	poor 19:18	provisions 29:14
old 35:7	parties 13:3 20:10	pose 11:8	proxy 2:6 3:8 8:16
once 24:4 32:16,17	31:5 36:5	position 14:15	8:20 12:19 13:16
open 3:19,22 7:22	party 8:8 13:15	17:13 19:8 24:6	18:16 19:20 20:3
24:9 34:14	14:7 16:14 21:11	35:10	20:21 22:10,21
opened 8:19,21,22	29:23 31:12 32:4	positions 35:2	27:18 28:13 32:20
24:7	38:4	post 20:11	33:10 34:13 35:22
operations 23:12	pass 18:19 32:23	posted 12:2,3	38:15
23:16	36:3	posting 11:22	public 1:4,22
opportunity 10:19	passed 9:19	potentially 10:5	19:10,12 39:4
15:25 16:17	passthrough 32:23	practice 17:5	publicly 36:15
opposed 5:2 6:24	32:24 33:12,14	preliminary 13:8	purpose 24:8 25:7
18:13 20:22 35:23	<b>pay</b> 6:11	13:19 19:1	29:16 30:7
38:19	pending 9:18	prepared 17:11	pursuant 36:14
opposite 16:14	people 12:21	29:12	push 34:23
opposition 5:25	14:17 37:24	present 3:5 16:19	put 14:2 17:16,18
28:23	perception 13:2	18:25 24:1	18:21 35:4
option 34:22	14:19	presentation 4:1	putting 11:23
orange 9:16	period 10:11	6:7	q
order 3:4 38:5	person 15:1 33:3	presented 4:17,18	quasi 13:20
original 23:8	33:14	pretty 19:3 28:22	question 7:12 19:1
originally 23:14	personal 36:9	privilege 36:9,13	24:24 26:7,10
outreach 11:25	37:14	37:14	34:9 35:10
outside 11:23	personally 11:2	probably 14:2	questions 16:22
overholt 2:6 3:8	19:8	16:7 29:16,16	23:9 24:12 26:1
oversight 26:17,20		problem 15:20,21	31:4,13,25 35:3
26:24	25:8	26:21 27:9	quote 14:13
p	phone 17:10	procedures 17:22	quoted 17:8
<b>p</b> 39:16	picture 27:14	18:3 20:7,12	r
<b>p.m.</b> 1:12 38:23	plane 24:16 28:6	proceeding 8:19	r 39:16
pac 33:1,2,3	play 10:16	proceedings 39:7	raise 24:22 36:8
packet 7:16 22:25	pleading 17:6	process 10:17 15:8	raised 32:19 35:3
23:1	pleasure 36:23	20:14 37:10	ratification 6:5
paper 13:10	38:1	proclamation	ratify 6:10
paragraph 31:19	plenty 35:19	19:12	reaching 31:3 33:8
31:22	pm 3:2	project 27:24	reaching 31.333.0

read 4:12 15:12	reportable 26:13	rga 25:1,3,6,7,24	service 38:6
23:16 24:14 31:22	reported 24:18	26:13 28:7,7,9,18	session 1:4
really 8:18 16:6	28:17 30:1,2,11,14	30:2,3,4,7,10 31:5	set 20:9 39:11
27:22 29:5	32:7,16,16	31:7,8 32:12,12,19	setting 13:20
reason 7:22 18:4	reporter 1:22 3:14	33:18	settlement 6:6,11
19:9 20:15	15:14 16:10 17:9	rhetorically 24:13	6:16
recall 9:17	reporting 3:15	rhonda 1:21 3:14	sheet 4:14
receipt 24:19	reports 33:7,10	39:3	shortcoming
received 7:5,14	republican 25:9	right 17:4 18:24	28:16
26:12 29:22 30:17	29:24 34:5	23:2 34:15	show 15:20 28:3
30:17,20,25 36:21	republicans 36:18	road 35:4	showing 37:9
receiving 33:14	request 3:16 9:9	room 1:10 37:19	side 15:3
receptions 25:23	9:12,18 12:11	route 16:13	sides 17:17 35:1
recitation 29:22	18:6	rpr 1:21 39:3	sign 5:10
reckless 30:19	requested 7:10	rules 15:5	signature 5:7,17
recognize 7:4	require 15:24	S	5:19 6:4 39:16
24:25	required 3:18 5:24	satisfied 16:2	signatures 5:24
recollection 29:17	research 10:8	saw 12:9	silence 11:17
reconsider 9:14,16	28:23	saying 12:1 33:17	simmons 2:14
12:11 18:6,10	residence 39:20	says 13:13 19:16	3:12 7:4,7 8:14
record 1:21	resolution 8:3	scenario 14:9	9:6 11:18 21:6,8
recorded 30:14	resolved 26:16	scheduled 18:22	22:6,16,17,24 23:2
31:8	respect 14:20 37:9	scrivener 4:8,13	36:22
referenced 22:25	37:24	seal 39:11	simple 27:3
referred 8:4	respond 13:6	second 4:20,21	simply 26:16,16
referring 22:24	respondent 18:24	6:17,18 12:16,18	sit 18:2
reflect 7:25	responding 21:15	20:1,3 24:23	site 11:23 12:2,4
refocus 34:8	21:20,23	34:16,20,21,22	12:10
refute 30:13	response 4:23 5:3	35:12,15,16,19	sitting 15:18
regard 35:2	6:2,20 7:1,14,15	seconds 15:7	situation 17:23
registered 33:1	8:12 15:4 18:8	seconds 13:7 see 7:20,24 12:14	34:25
regularly 18:22	19:14 20:17 35:6	27:13 31:2 32:17	society 15:15
related 25:15	38:21		solely 33:18
28:15	responses 29:24	32:18 33:25 37:3	solved 26:21
relevant 21:8	responsibility	seen 4:13 23:3,4	somebody 10:17
repeat 16:7	15:23	send 33:24	15:3,20 28:21
report 7:4 21:12	rest 17:18	sense 19:18	somewhat 10:24
22:12,13 23:14	reversed 15:6	separate 36:15	sorry 29:21
26:17 27:14 28:3	review 6:14	seriousness 15:17	sort 15:2
28:9,14 31:1	reviewed 4:4 19:4	serve 36:13 38:1	sorts 17:25
32:18 33:7 34:1		served 8:7 37:17	
		38:2	
	Cannan	Reporting	

source 24:21	substantially	testify 31:12	12:7 15:18 16:7
speak 17:12	35:11	testimony 24:6	17:14,21 18:2
speaker 10:1,3,22	sufficient 19:3	thank 3:23 4:3 6:5	24:1,8 33:9 35:10
15:19 19:7	suggest 16:13	14:1 22:8 37:1,8	37:11,18,20
speaker's 18:23	17:20	38:7,9	today's 4:7
specific 23:10,10	suggested 14:11	thanks 36:19	told 17:9 30:6,23
23:19,20	suit 15:3	thing 10:10 24:23	37:18,22
spent 10:1 17:9	summarized 22:7	32:22	top 19:23
spent 10.1 17.9 ss 39:1	supplement 14:24	things 14:13 18:1	transcript 39:8,9
staff 2:10 3:10	supplemented	25:12 28:23 29:19	transparency
23:18,20 26:10	35:6,6,7	31:24	10:23 15:22
31:14 36:23	support 18:5	think 4:14 7:24	transportation
The companies and companies are access.	36:20	8:7,24 9:2 10:15	30:9
staffs 27:13	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	10:20,22,23 12:12	transporting
stage 13:8,19	suppose 14:15	13:25 14:12 15:13	26:14
16:24	<b>supreme</b> 15:7 <b>sure</b> 13:16 15:11	15:14,18,22 16:10	travel 30:15
stamp 5:17,22,24	16:15,18 29:1	16:23,25 17:1,4,21	traveling 30:19
6:5	suzannah 2:6 3:8	18:2 19:8,12,17	treasurer 21:25
standard 19:5	switched 23:12	20:5,11 22:6	treated 15:15
standing 15:9		25:13 26:1,24	trip 25:1 28:15
starters 11:11	system 27:2	27:1,7,8 28:16	troubled 10:10
state 1:10 17:6	t	29:9,12,14,19	11:7
25:10 33:1 38:2	t 39:16	30:18,21 31:25	troubles 10:10
39:1,4	tab 6:9 7:17 9:16	32:9,10 34:1	true 5:15 24:24,24
state's 3:22 21:10	21:5,9	37:22 38:4,5	26:6 36:13 39:8
statute 11:5	table 27:12	thinking 20:8	try 10:19
statute's 19:3	taint 27:4	thompson 2:16 6:7	trying 13:7 24:15
stenographic 1:21	take 6:3,13 8:17	6:8	turn 13:17 25:3
1:22 39:9	17:14 24:6 26:8	thorough 29:14	two 20:25 21:1
stenographically	27:9	thought 9:25	25:8 30:3 31:4,6
39:7	takes 13:22	22:21	36:1,2 37:21
step 13:18 14:12	talk 16:17	thoughts 9:22	type 14:9
14:23	talked 12:12 29:20	three 36:14	
<b>steps</b> 26:8 <b>street</b> 1:11	29:21	threshold 31:3	u
	taylor 6:7	ticket 33:24,24	<b>u</b> 39:16
strong 36:19 submit 35:1 36:5	tell 8:8 10:19	tied 17:23 20:9	unanswered 24:12
submitted 13:10	25:10,14	time 3:2,16 7:3	31:4
subsequent 9:13	tenuous 30:18	10:4 12:21 25:20	uncomfortable
substantial 26:4	tenure 36:12	times 14:17 27:19	17:7
35:8	term 18:24	today 3:14 7:21	underneath 6:9
33.0	terms 30:16	10:10 11:8,10,25	understand 22:16
		10.10 11.0,10,20	23:6,21 24:23

undertaking 26:2	3 wanted 16:3	yellow 21:5,9
unimpressed	warrant 26:4	Z
25:12	27:11 35:9	
unlawful 17:2	warranted 13:5	z 4:21 6:18 12:16
unquote 14:13	27:5	13:6,17 16:12,16
uphold 20:15	washington 1:11	16:19,23 18:12
uses 32:12	27:1	20:24 29:8 33:17
V	way 17:25 20:11	33:21 34:2 35:25
vague 29:16	32:14	zachary 2:5 3:9
value 29:17	we've 8:1,2 15:15	zody 21:11,23
valued 28:25	18:4 19:18 25:13	
various 25:22	34:9 35:2,12,19	
vendor 24:14,16	weather 10:12	
24:18,25 32:11	web 11:23 12:2,4	
vice 2:4 3:6 4:11	12:10	
4:18 5:13,20,23	weed 14:13	a a
6:15 7:18,24 8:24	weingarten 8:14	
9:20,24 11:13,16	9:5,13 11:19	
12:5,23 14:16	went 34:6	
16:15,18,20,25	west 1:11	
18:15,20 19:22	whereof 39:10	
20:20 21:2 22:19	white 6:9	
	willing 17:11	
22:23 23:1,4,5,24	27:12	
24:3,11 28:12	wilson 2:63:8	
32:8 33:8,20,23	wisdom 36:16	
34:4,17,21 35:17	wish 35:15	
35:21 36:4 37:6	withdraw 34:22	
37:13,16 38:9	35:15	
violations 8:5	witness 39:10	
vote 9:19 27:10	witnesses 15:1	
34:24	words 29:9	
oted 9:24	work 36:23	
W	Annual Control of Cont	
vait 5:10	writing 9:1	
vaive 6:11	wrong 23:7	
vant 10:22 27:6,6	y	
32:17,17,18 34:23	y 39:16	
34:25 36:6,15	yeah 5:18 31:3	
37:1	years 8:2 37:9,17	
	37:22 38:5	
	and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and	-